



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/858,423   | 05/16/2001  | Lutz Richter         | A-2845              | 3468             |
| 7590   | 01/20/2004  |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| LERNER AND GREENBERG, P.A.<br>POST OFFICE BOX 2480<br>HOLLYWOOD, FL 33022-2480 |             |                      | LOWE, MICHAEL S     |                  |
|  |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |             |                      | 3652                |                  |

DATE MAILED: 01/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|                              |                           |                  |
|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| <b>Office Action Summary</b> | Application No.           | Applicant(s)     |
|                              | 09/858,423                | RICHTER ET AL.   |
|                              | Examiner<br>M. Scott Lowe | Art Unit<br>3652 |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 October 2003.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) Claim(s) 1,3-9,11 and 15 is/are pending in the application.
  - 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1,3-9,11 and 15 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 30 October 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120**

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
  - a) All b) Some \* c) None of:
    1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
    2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
    3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
  - a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                    | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ . |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)           | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .                                   |

***Drawings***

The proposed drawing correction filed 10/30/03 is approved.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3-5,7-9,11,15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rana (US 3,809,385).

Re claim 1, Rana teaches a processing device for flat products, comprising:  
at least one feeder (a) (22);  
transport chain frames (see figures);  
a gathering chain 12 borne by said transport chain frames;  
said gathering chain 12 being operatively charged with the flat products by said feeder and transporting the flat products in a transport direction;  
said feeder (a) being detachably connected to said transport chain frames in a working position.

Re claims 3, 11, Rana teaches said feeder (a) being mobile.

Re claim 4, Rana teaches the feeder including fixing means 50, 56 (see figures) for arresting said feeder at least in said working position.

Re claim 5, Rana teaches the feeder forming a modular unit including a superstructure and a subframe carrying said superstructure (see figures 2,6).

Re claim 7, 15, Rana teaches said subframe has guides 24 and stops 50, 32 for positioning said feeder in said working position.

Re claim 8, Rana teaches said transport chain frames have stops 50 (figures 3-5) for positioning said feeder in said working position.

Re claim 9, Rana teaches a collating device for flat printed products, comprising:  
feeders (a, 22) (figures 1-6) disposed at respective working positions;  
transport chain frames (see figures);  
a gathering chain 12 borne by said transport chain frames;  
said gathering chain being operatively charged with the flat printed products by said feeders;  
at least one of said feeders being detachably connected to said transport chain frames.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rana (US 3,809,385).

Re claim 6, Rana teaches said superstructure having a separating apparatus (see figure 6) but is silent on said subframe having rollers or wheels. Nonetheless figure 4 teaches the use of wheels or roller to ease movement of objects that are removable. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

Art Unit: 3652

made to modify the subframe to have rollers or wheels to ease movement of objects that are removable.

***Conclusion***

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Scott Lowe whose telephone number is 703-305-1940. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30am-4:30pm M,Tu,Th,F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen Lillis can be reached on 703-308-3248. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9326.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.



EILEEN D. LILLIS  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

msl